


Structural Computing and Metadata Management


Anna Musterfrau

(Example University, city, state)

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000>, anna.musterfrau@example.at)

Max Mustermann

(Example University, city, state)

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000>, max.mustermann@example.at)

Abstract: A short and pregnant description of the content and intent of the article. Please try to avoid mathematical symbols and special characters as much as possible.

Key Words: metadata, knowledge management, structural computing

Categories: H.2, H.3.7, H.5.4

DOI:

1 Introduction

In this paper, we begin by introducing ...

2 Structural computing

2.1 History

Hypermedia has become a concept familiar to many people ... The term structural computing was coined to describe this unification of various hypermedia variants within a common framework [Nürnberg et al. 1997].

2.2 Comparing data- and structure-based approaches

Structural computing environments are distinguished by their focus on the construction and management of structural abstractions ...

This realization significantly complicates certain otherwise well-understood problems...

Similar examples of structural complications in version control and access control have been discussed at length within the hypermedia and structural computing communities (e.g., [Hicks et al. 1998, Nürnberg et al. 1996]).

2.3 Current status

There is much ongoing work within the structural computing field (see, for example, the proceedings of the last three workshops on structural computing [Anderson and Reich 2000, Nürnberg 1999, Reich et al. 2001]). Two modern structural computing systems are Callimachus [Christodoulakis et al. 1999] and Construct [Wiil and Nürnberg 1999].... It is a codebase successor to three lines of hypermedia research systems – specifically, DHM [Grønbaek and Trigg 1994], HOSS [Nürnberg et al. 1996], and HyperDisco [Wiil and Leggett 1996]. Both the Callimachus and Construct systems implement a wide variety of structural services. Recently, a metadata service, allowing the tagging of WWW pages with arbitrary metadata records, has been added to Construct [Neveu et al. 2001].

3 Metadata as first-class structure

Metadata is not simply data ...

Secondly, a given metadatum may be related to more than one datum. For example, two data that share identical authors may both be related to an identical author metadatum. This “transclusion” [Nelson 1993] model of building metadata references is atypical – generally, two metadata records for data that share identical authors simply both share, for example, identical text in an author key field. At one level of abstraction (e.g., the user interface), this model of metadata may be useful – a metadata browser may want that metadata presented as keyword/value pairs. However, from an implementation perspective, the keyword/value pair model is a poor choice. It makes several types of operations, such as updating information (e.g., “change all instances of Joe Public to Joe Q. Public”), querying for related information (e.g., “find all articles authored by Joe Q. Public”), or differentiating information (e.g., “which of the three authors named Joe Q. Public authored this article?”) unnecessarily difficult...

4 Generalized first-class metadata management

In this section, we present some brief examples of how implementing metadata management... The implementation and implications of such examples are described at greater length elsewhere (e.g., [Hicks et al. 1998, Nürnberg et al. 1996]).

4.1 Data mining

If metadata are treated as structure ...

4.2 Adaptive systems

When structures (both metadata itself, and the structure that binds it to data) are treated as first-class, they may then be manipulated as any data object, including being tagged with attribute/value pairs and being versioned. Both of these characteristics are very useful in adaptive systems [Schraefel 2000]...

5 Conclusions and Future Work

Until recently, focus in metadata research has focused on what metadata is and how it should be represented to the user. However, there has been a lack of focus on how it should be managed at a system level. We have shown that treating metadata as simple data out of the context of the relationships to which it belongs, and which it defines, although the current default model, is insufficient. We advocate borrowing structure management techniques from fields such as structural computing to manage metadata more effectively.

References

- [Anderson and Reich 2000] Anderson, K., Reich, S. (eds.): “Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Structural Computing”; Lect. Notes Comp. Sci. 1903, Springer, Berlin.
- [Christodoulakis et al. 1999] Christodoulakis, D., Vaitis, M., Papadopoulos, A., Tzagarakis, M.: “The Callimachus approach to distributed hypermedia”; Proc. 10th ACM Conf. Hypert., ACM, New York (Feb 1999).
- [Grønbaek and Trigg 1994] Grønbaek, K., Trigg, R.: “Design issues for a Dexter-based hypermedia system”; Comm. ACM 37, 2 (Feb 1994), 40-49.
- [Hicks et al. 1998] Hicks, D., Leggett, J., Nürnberg, P., Schnase, J.: “A hypermedia version control framework”; ACM Trans. Inf. Sys., 16, 2 (Apr 1998) 127-160.
- [LOC 2000] Library of Congress: MARC 21 specifications for record structure, character sets, and exchange media. (2000) <http://www.loc.gov/marc/specifications/spechome.html>.
- [Marshall et al. 1994] Marshall, C., Shipman, F., Coombs, J.: “VIKI: spatial hypertext supporting emergent structure”; Proc. 1994 Euro. Conf. Hyperm. Tech. (Sep) 13-23.
- [Martinez 2002] Martinez, J.: “Coding of moving pictures and audio: Overview of the MPEG-7 standard (version 6.0)” ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 N4509 (2001) <http://mpeg.telecomitalia.com/standards/mpeg-7/mpeg-7.htm>
- [McCall et al. 1990] McCall, R., Bennett, P., D’Oronzio, P., Ostwald, J., Shipman, F., Wallace, N.: “PHIDIAS: Integrating CAD graphics into dynamic hypertext”; Proc. 1st Euro. Conf. Hypert. 1990 (Nov), 152-165.
- [Nelson 1993] Nelson, T.: “Literary Machines”; Mindful, Sausalito, CA.
- [Neveu et al. 2001] Neveu, Y., Guervilly, Y., Wiil, U., Hicks, D.: “Providing metadata services on the World Wide Web” Tech. Rep. CSE-01-01, Dept. Comp. Sci. & Eng, Aalborg U. Esbjerg, (Mar) <http://www.cs.aue.auc.dk/publications>
- [Nürnberg 1999] Nürnberg, P. (ed.): “Proceedings of the Workshop on Structural Computing (SC1)”. Technical Report CSE-99-04, Dept. Comp. Sci. & Eng., Aalborg U. Esbjerg, (Feb) <http://www.cs.aue.auc.dk/publications>
- [Nürnberg et al. 1998] Nürnberg, P., Wiil, U., Leggett, J.: “Structuring facilities in digital libraries”; Proc. Euro. Conf. Dig. Lib. 1998 (Sep).

- [Nürnberg et al. 1997] Nürnberg, P., Leggett, J., Schneider, E.: “As we should have thought”; Proc. ACM Hypert. '97, ACM, New York (1997).
- [Nürnberg et al. 1996] Nürnberg, P., Leggett, J., Schneider, E., Schnase, J.: “HOSS: a new paradigm for computing”; Proc. 7th ACM Conf. Hypert, ACM, New York (Mar 1996) 194-202.
- [Reich et al. 2001] Reich, S., Tzagarakis, M., De Bra, P. (eds.): “Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Structural Computing”; Lect. Notes Comp. Sci. 2266, Springer, Berlin.
- [Schraefel 2000] Schraefel, M.: “ConTexts: Adaptable hypermedia”; Proc. Adapt. Hypermedia and Adapt. Web-Based Sys. Int. Conf., Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci. 1892, Springer, Berlin (Aug 2000), 369-375.
- [Wiebel et al. 1998] Weibel, S., Kunze, J., Lagoze, C., Wolf, M.: “Dublin Core metadata for resource discovery”; IETF RFC 2413 (1998) <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2413.txt>
- [Wiil and Leggett 1996] Wiil, U. Leggett, J.: “The HyperDisco approach to open hypermedia systems”; Proc. ACM Conf. Hypert. 1996, ACM, New York (Mar), 140-148.
- [Wiil and Leggett 1992] Wiil, U. Leggett, J.: “Hyperform: Using extensibility to develop dynamic, open and distributed hypertext systems”; Proc. 4th ACM Conf. Hypert., ACM, New York (Nov 1992), 251-261.
- [Wiil and Nürnberg 1999] Wiil, U., Nürnberg, P.: “Evolving hypermedia middleware services: Lessons and observations”; Proc. ACM Symp. Appl. Comp., ACM, New York (Mar 1999).